between construction 1 distinction and 2 operation, the construction date versus the 3 operation date, this was not a flippant 4 answer. This was not an attempt to be 5 It was simply a statement of the obscure. 6 fact that in this particular service there's no distinction between, it's not like there's 7 8 a separate time between when you complete 9 construction and when you go into operation. 10 There's no construction permit. 11 There's no operational file and when the stations 12 constructed, are they are 13 operational. Hence that was our statement that the stations were in operation on the 14 15 date that they were, construction 16 completed. It's one in the same act. As far 17 as whether stations --JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait a minute. Ιt 18 takes a little bit longer to construct than it 19 does to turn a switch, doesn't it? 20 MR. Well, the 21 KELLER: when station is completed construction, the switch 22

1	is turned and I explained this in our answers.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: She's just asking
3	for two different dates?
4	MR. KELLER: I explained the dates
5	are the same as the date of construction.
6	There's no distinction between the two.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Which today you
8	cannot provide or you will not provide?
9	MR. KELLER: Well no, I've
10	provided it where we can. I can't provide the
11	exact date for the older stuff as I've
12	explained. I mean, again, we can only answer
13	what we have. And as I said for the station
14	to be used
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well you're
16	answering the question that way. Are you
17	answering the question in a way
18	MR. KELLER: Yes.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: that I don't,
20	the information is not available and we try
21	to, you know, all reasonable efforts, we've
22	made reasonable efforts to obtain it. Is that

1	the answer or is it something else?
2	MR. KELLER: We've explained that,
3	yes.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well you didn't
5	explain it. Hold on just a second.
6	MS. KANE: The only response we've
7	gotten to
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: what's the
9	clarification?
10	MS. KANE: The only response that
11	we've gotten to that answer, to that
12	particular question is similar to this, which
13	we're, you know, these are old stations and we
14	don't know if we have this information.
15	We'll have to look through our
16	documents which we didn't have at the time we
17	prepared the responses. And we intend to
18	supplement this answer. We've received no
19	further supplement to that interrogatory.
20	MR. KELLER: No, we did file a
21	supplement to that interrogatory in which we
22	both, number one and also a Response to the

Motion to Compel explaining what I just now explained. That there is no distinction between construction and operation.

MS. KANE: Your Honor, a Response to a Motion to Compel is not under affirmation and oath as would an interrogatory response be. And they've never clarified that they have supplemented and completed their response to number one.

We're still waiting for this supplement to a chart that they prepared for the first response that contains information as to one of 66 licenses. That's it. If in fact they can't provide the information for the other 66, then they need to say that. And there needs to be an answer on which the Bureau can rely going forward.

But I've yet to hear Mr. Keller answer the question about whether or not its stations are operating. There is nothing in our interrogatory that asks it about AMTS service. We simply ask a very basic question.

1	Are your stations operating and if
2	not why not? And if they're not operating how
3	long have they been discontinued? We're not
4	asking for a legal determination. This is
5	very basic information.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Keller.
7	MR. KELLER: Your Honor, at this
8	point I'm confused about which interrogatories
9	we're talking about here, which specific
10	questions. As I said, number one
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: There's two
12	questions. One is the date of construction.
13	The other is the date of operation.
14	MR. KELLER: And also this
15	question about how long they're not operating.
16	We cannot necessarily know that for each
17	station.
18	
	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, but you can
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, but you can know which station, you can provide that
19 20	
	know which station, you can provide that

1 provide the information, you should have a 2 reason why you can't. 3 That I can do. MR. KELLER: 4 JUDGE SIPPEL: I think that would 5 be something to get started doing. But, you know, what kind of a time frame can I give 6 7 It seems to me that the only sensible you? 8 way this is going to work is for you and Mr. 9 Keller to sit down and figure and just make a 10 list of what it is that he can't give you 11 information for and why. 12 And in any event, I need to act on 13 something that has some grit to it and right now it seems like it's wishy washy all over 14 15 the place. It's very difficult to do much with that. 16 17 MS. KANE: Well, Your Honor, part of the reason it's wishy washy is because of 18 19 the kind of answers that we've been getting. 20 And I think, you know, I don't want to

continue to pursue this line when we've got

other issues that the other folks in the room

21

are more concerned with.

If we're going to go through the actual chart that we've set out, I think you'll see very clearly what it is that we're missing. And, you know, it's outlined by interrogatory number. So Mr. Keller should have no question about what interrogatory we're asking about.

And, you know, we'd like to get some affirmations here on the record as to what it is that Maritime is intending to provide us. We've been waiting now since, you know, February 6th was when you ordered these interrogatories be responded. We're now another month into it.

We're all facing a deadline for discovery on this and they've been dragging their feet on the most basic of information.

I'm not sure --

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well that's your characterization. As I say, it may, there's several ways of looking at this and that's one

1 way. And it could be that the documents are 2 in such a state of disorder or not organized 3 sufficiently to pull this information 4 together. 5 You know, it could be any number of reasons which, whether they're valid or 6 7 not, the frustrating part seems to be that nobody knows what's available, what's not 8 9 available and why. That's, you know, if 10 documents aren't there you can't provide an 11 answer. 12 But if they're there some place, 13 but you don't know where and nobody's looking for them, that's a different kind of a 14 situation. Which is it Mr. Keller, which one 15 is it? 16 17 MR. KELLER: Well, Your Honor, I think the answer is a little different on 18 19 different interrogatories. But the bottom line is, to the best that we could we provided 20 21 the answers that we can provide.

Now there may be some, you're

characterizations right, some interpretations of these questions where perhaps there's a difference of opinion as to question means and how it's the I mean I'm just looking at one interpreted. example here, it seems like there's always just the worst assumption is made about our motives and that's, I find that unfair.

It says we gave a station, an answer that all stations were constructed in accordance with the relevant licenses except for those where minor variations as reported to the Commission. And then there was this question about which stations were constructed with minor variations.

Well on the stations that we gave, we provided copies of the construction notices for the east coast stations that were provided by the Commission. And some of those had the minor variations right on them.

I mean when the guys signed off on the certification he stated that the station

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 constructed, you know, with was authorization and he put in some of them he 2 said except and there might be some minor 3 4 variation in the height and it's stated right 5 in the document. Now I gave JUDGE SIPPEL: You didn't break 6 7 out your answer that way though, I mean you 8 just provide the document? And said you 9 decide which it is that may be a significant -10 11 MR. KELLER: Well no, I mean it's 12 very clear on the face of the document that 13 he's stating that it's minor. 14 JUDGE SIPPEL: Is that clear? seems to me that we wouldn't be here if it was 15 16 that clear. MR. KELLER: And again, half of my 17 problem, on 90 percent of this stuff 18 quarantee you, I disagree with Ms. Kane, I 19 think it could be useful to sit down and have 20 21 a meeting to go through and get exactly what

they want.

Because what happens is, when they're not satisfied with an answer, rather then just picking up the phone and asking can we clarify this, which we would be happy to work with them on, we all of a sudden get either an e-mail or a motion accusing us of all sorts of improper conduct before they've even asked us to clarify our answer.

MS. KANE: Your Honor, it's not a matter of clarifying their answer. They in some instances, they simply haven't provided an answer. I mean Mr. Keller is now pointing to documents to respond to an interrogatory that he never pointed to in response to that interrogatory.

And frankly, you know, he should be required to identify the specific document. He's now had them all numbered. If there are documents he's relying on for the interrogatory answer, then he's required to identify that by Bates number, not require us to look through 27,000 pages of documents to

find the specific ones he's referring to. 1 2 Thev put this answer in an 3 interrogatory. They swore to it by oath. 4 they're going to rely on it, then they need to 5 provide the evidentiary support for that 6 answer. 7 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I mean okay. I'm hearing it several different ways here. 8 9 MS. KANE: Well I mean, Your Honor 10 11 JUDGE SIPPEL: They are 12 responsible for putting, giving a clear answer 13 to an interrogatory. I agree with that 14 premise. And also if the document is vague or 15 indefinite or subject to several 16 interpretations, it's not good enough to just refer to the document without explaining what 17 Maritime, what this means to Maritime. 18 Your Honor, I'm a 19 MR. KELLER: little lost here because I don't have a copy 20 of the interrogatories in front of me, but 21 I've got the quote here from her text of the 22

1 interrogatory. It says identify by call sign 2 and location whether the site-based 3 authorizations at issue were properly constructed in accordance with the relevant 4 licenses. 5 6 The answer that we gave to that 7 was responsive to that request. You know, all 8 the stations were constructed in accordance, 9 except for your minor variations were reported to the Commission. 10 Now again, she's not 11 coming back and saying well which ones. I can 12 happily provide --JUDGE SIPPEL: She shouldn't have 13 14 to come back and ask which ones. You haven't 15 completely answered that question. MR. KELLER: I believe we have. 16 17 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well of course you 18 believe you have. But you've got to provide 19 information that is meaningful, 20 information that's going to lead the Bureau to 21 come back and ask you for more information or

more clarification.

1	It's just a question of taking
2	questions straight up and answering without
3	trying to dance around the edges. Now to me
4	that's pretty plain and obvious. But I can't
5	go through these, sit here and go through each
6	of these interrogatory questions this morning.
7	So I don't, I'm trying, I'm
8	frustrated, how do you plan to figure it out?
9	What's the best thing that could happen on
10	walking out of this court room today?
11	MS. KANE: We think the best
12	thing, Your Honor, as tedious as it might be,
13	is for us to walk through each of these eight,
14	seven interrogatories.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Seven, okay.
16	MS. KANE: There's seven
17	interrogatories. One or two of them we've
18	already, to some extent, addressed. But we
19	would like Mr. Keller to acknowledge on the
20	record if they don't have information to
21	those. And those are the construction and

placed in operation dates.

And then the other is we've just been getting this kind of circular answer. And Mr. Keller's saying that he doesn't understand our interrogatories or that they're subject to interpretation. It's not subject to interpretation whether a station is operating. It should be pretty basic and understandable to a company like Maritime.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well look --

MS. KANE: So we think it would be helpful if we walked through and got on the record what Maritime either, whether they have information, whether they're prepared to provide a supplemental response and if the responses to that is no, then we would seek some sort of adverse inference from Your Honor.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well here's what I'm going to leave. I mean you gave me the seven specifics and I'd say after this "business" section is over and go down and do the gritty work on that.

2.0

1	Anything else, I'll give you to
2	the end of the week to talk about it,
3	approaching any way that you can that's going
4	to be meaningful. And if you can't get the
5	information as you think you're entitled to it
6	by the end of the week, file a Motion to
7	Compel.
8	MS. KANE: Well, Your Honor, right
9	now we've got the seven that, there's only
10	seven interrogatories over which we have some
11	dispute, at least at the moment.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: You're just, you're
13	in the same boat he is. You're saying just at
14	the moment
15	MS. KANE: No, I'm saying at the
16	moment
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: and he's saying
18	we're doing the best we can.
19	MS. KANE: No, Your Honor, I'm
20	saying at the moment because we have another
21	outstanding set of interrogatories. And the
22	kind of runaround that we've been getting from

1 Mr. Keller is, suggests to us that we're not going to get complete answers to those. 2 3 That's why I say at the moment. 4 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well look, we're 5 not going to speculate on suggestions. 6 files his answers and then we go from there. 7 He knows what the concerns what you have are. 8 He knows now what the concerns I have in terms 9 of how to approach these answers. You can 10 only say to see what happens on those. can we get done today? That's what I'm trying 11 to find out. 12 13 MS. KANE: I think if we could get 14 through our chart today and we could get an 15 acknowledgment from Your Honor to Mr. Keller that he should either provide a supplemental 16 17 answer to each of these interrogatories or provide a supplemental answer that says he has 18 no information. That would be very helpful to 19 20 the Bureau. I'm going to leave 21 JUDGE SIPPEL: my instruction as it was. You have until the 22

1 end of the week to try and work with counsel. 2 He also suggested a stipulation for some of 3 this. And do you want a stipulation or you think that might be a good idea, you're going 4 to have to propose it to counsel. She can't 5 6 propose it to you. 7 You've got the information, Mr. Keller. Well how difficult it is to dig out, 8 I have no idea. But it's there, you have it. 9 And again, the idea of just referring to a 10 11 document and saying well go look for it there, 12 that's not going to work. That's not going to 13 fly. I can do that. I can take adverse 14 15 I can bar you from putting in inferences. 16 information that's relevant to what you're saying you can't provide information for now. 17 I mean this is going to have, there are some 18 19 penalties that can be applied. And in any 20 event, you've got a hearing date coming up.

MS. KANE: Yes, this all Issue 8,

This is all Issue 8, right?

21

Your Honor.

JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. And you've got a hearing date coming up and you also have a second Thursday issue. And you know, you're not, certainly you're not helping me get either one done in a timely fashion if this is the way it's going to proceed.

I, you know, I'm not going to say that the fault is yours completely. All I'm going to say is that somehow or another you're not on the, counselor are not on the same wavelength in terms of what to accomplish and how to accomplish it. Recognizing that there are really going to be some situations where you're going to feel strongly that it can't be done.

You do not have the information. You've tried to look for it et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. But this wishy washy is just not going to go. So it's going to reach a point where something's going to happen to you.

1	And I said file next week. I'll
2	give you some specific dates, but file next
3	week if you can't get it worked out.
4	Stipulation, whatever way, you know, the
5	measures, if counsel could figure out a way of
6	proposing this. You don't have to live with
7	exact dates. Can you use on or about dates?
8	MS. KANE: We don't have any
9	dates, Your Honor.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well I know you
11	don't. I'm saying
12	MS. KANE: And they're not
13	offering on or about dates.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: I know they're not.
15	I'm saying if they did offer on or about dates
16	could you live with that?
17	MS. KANE: We probably could, Your
18	Honor.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: With a declaration
20	explaining why that's the best they can do.
21	They don't have the document.
22	MS. KANE: Our greater issue is

1	not the dates of construction and
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well I thought we
3	started out with that.
4	MS. KANE: It is. It's the first
5	two on the list, but our bigger issue is the
6	fact that they're not providing us information
7	on whether the stations are currently
8	operating.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well now there's a
10	good question. Why wouldn't you know anything
11	about that Mr. Keller? That information
12	should be readily available wouldn't it?
13	MR. KELLER: Actually, Your Honor,
14	it's not and I have to apologize about one
15	thing. I didn't realize this until I looked
16	up, I looked at the Motion to Compel, there's
17	a code that's missing off of the key to the
18	codes in this chart for you, which is
19	currently
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now this chart is
21	your chart?
22	MR. KELLER: Yes, but she quoted

1	the chart in her motion. She quoted an
2	excerpt from the chart.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: The chart is your
4	chart?
5	MR. KELLER: Yes. That's right.
6	But I have provided a code that gave the
7	status of each of the stations and one of the
8	codes was U and I see that for some reason the
9	U got left off of the key. And that key was
10	intended to described U as saying currently
11	unknown. And it really is this way, Your
12	Honor.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: We'll use the DK.
14	MR. KELLER: Pardon me.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Use DK for that and
16	then you won't get any confusion with the U's.
17	MR. KELLER: Well, it's either way
18	I didn't
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: You didn't do it.
20	MR. KELLER: I didn't include
21	in that motion
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Can you go back and

do it today?

MR. KELLER: No, I can go back and it. But, let me explain why it is. Because again I think a lot of this is a different wavelength of misunderstanding in what we're saying here. The Bureau is expecting there to be specific, discreet answers, like a date that operations were terminated, how long they were terminated.

It was not even a matter that we can't dig for that information. It's a matter that information just doesn't exist in that form. To give you an example, as of today most of the sites are behind on their rents. I mean since the bankruptcy was filed and even some of them before, but certainly since the bankruptcy was filed there have been no funds.

There have been no rents, in some cases the utilities are part of the rent.

We've not been able to go out and visit each of these sites. We know that each of the sites were constructed and were operational,

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 but we don't know any particular site what's 2 happened now since we've been behind on the 3 rents. 4 Whether or not a landlord has 5 disconnected the site or not. So I mean, we just don't know the status of some of these 6 7 We can only say what we do know and 8 that's the situation. 9 There's also this issue of what it 10 means to be in operation. We've already stated if it's not been stated clearly enough 11 12 than we'll clarify it, we're not providing 13 service currently to AMTS customers as such. 14 You know, in the way the AMTS service was 15 initially intended. But each of the stations 16 was fully operational, capable of providing that service. 17 JUDGE SIPPEL: You seem to say two 18 19 inconsistent things. 20 MR. KELLER: No. 21 JUDGE SIPPEL: It would be, 22 service can be offered, but not in the same

1	way
2	MR. KELLER: No, no.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: that it's
4	MR. KELLER: What I'm saying is
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: understood that
6	that service is
7	MR. KELLER: In some services, in
8	some of the wireless services, Your Honor, in
9	order to be considered constructed, in order
10	to be considered valid you have to not only
11	have constructed the operation, but you have
12	to actually be providing service to some
13	minimum number of units or something like
14	that. In this service, that requirement
15	doesn't exist.
16	So once a station is constructed
17	and operational and capable of providing a
18	service, it's deemed to be completed. And
19	every, what I'm saying is
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Plus it would be
21	even easier for you.
22	MR. KELLER: All of the stations